Examples of SMART District Goals

Student Performance Goals

Anatomy of a SMART Goal

| | Specific | Measurable | Attainable and Achievable | Realistic and Relevant | Timely |
|---|----------|--|------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| By 2011, all students in grades K-12 will improve performance on the OAT/ OGT and local assessments by % each year in reading. | _ | X % of all students in grades K-12 OAT/OGT | Improve performance | % determined by baseline performance | By 2011 |
| By 2011, all students will increase their mathematics and numeracy achievement on the OAT, OGT and local assessments by at least 5% each year; and reduce the achievement gap in each subgroup by at least 10% each year. | Numeracy | 5%. 10% OAT/OGT | Increase achievement | All students increase by at least 5%; reduce achievement gap in subgroups by 10% annually | By 2011, each year |

Expectations and Conditions Goals

Anatomy of a SMART Goal

| | Specific | Measurable | Attainable and Achievable | Realistic and Relevant | Timely |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| By the end of the 2010-2011 school year, suspensions/office referrals reported for all students in the 4 th , 6 th and 9 th grades and SWD (Students with disabilities) in all grades will be reduced by 50% from 2006-2007 EMIS data. | Suspensions/office referrals | All students in grades 4, 6, 9 and SWD EMIS | Reduce referrals | 50% reduction in suspension/office referrals over a 5 year period (2006-07 to 2010-2011) | By the end of the 2010-2011 school year |
| By 2011, the district will provide a working and learning environment that allows each employee to demonstrate leadership and contribute to increasing student learning as measured by 25% increased satisfaction and changes in leadership practice (OLAC Rubric). | Changes in the working and learning environment | Increases in satisfaction and improvement on OLAC rubric scores | Improved working conditions, leadership opportunities and hiring and assignment policies | 25% increase in employee satisfaction and changes in leadership practices, policies and procedures | By 2011 |